

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/510,651	02/22/2000	Klaus Doelle	VOI0148.US	1552
75	90 10/04/2003		EXAMINER	
Todd T Taylor			AKERS, GEOFFREY R	
Taylor & Aust P C 142 S Main Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P O Box 560			3624	
Avilla, IN 467	710		DATE MAILED: 10/04/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				1
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	Office Action Summary	09/5/0651	lvelle	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner //	Art Unit	
		Mos	5 1762	Y
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	rs on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence	address
P	eriod for Reply			
	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the No period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, caus. - Arry reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	In no event, however, may a report the statutory minimum of thirty and will expire SIX (6) MONTH to the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed after SIX (6) (30) days will be considered the from the mailing date of this NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	MONTHS from the imely.
5	Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	2/21/00		·
		action is non-final.		
_	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	e except for formal ma parte Quayle, 1935 C.	atters, prosecution as D. 11; 453 O.G. 213	to the merits is 3.
۱ ۱	Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s)		is/are pending	in the application.
	4a) Of the above, claim(s)			
İ	5) Claim(s)			
	6) Claim(s) 1-19		is/are rej	ected.
	7) Claim(s)			jected to.
	8) Claims			or election requirement.
,	Application Papers			
	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner			
	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/.	are a) 🗆 accepted or	b) objected to by	the Examiner.
1	Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in	abeyance. See 37 CFR	1.85(a).
	11) The proposed drawing correction filed on			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep			
	12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	· ;	<u> </u>
	Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			ها مسوليه در خال بالاستان
	13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
	a) All b) Some* c) None of:		i	all comet all the
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.	17.	ertified copies of the pre-
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	•	Application No. !!!	<u> Santilla of Carrier and</u> State grown
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorit application from the International B	y documents have bee ureau (PCT Rule 17.2)	n received in this Nat a)).	
1	*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of			
	14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domes	stic priority under 35 L	J.S.C. § 119(e).	
	a) \square The translation of the foreign language provisi			
	15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domes	stic priority under 35 L	J.S.C. §§ 120 and/or	121.
	Attachment(s)			
	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	<u> </u>	(PTO-413) Paper No(s)	
	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Patent Application (PTO-152)		
L	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Cther:		

Application/Control Number: 09/510651 Page 2

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-19 have been examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as unpatentable over Carlsmith(US Pat. No: 5,810,973) in view of Shackford(US Pat. No: 5,944,952)..
- 4. As per claims 1-19 Carlsmith teaches a stock preparation monitoring system(Abstract) (Figs 1-9)(col 3 line 54-col 4 line 54) as well as an apparatrus to produce elongated multifiber particles(Fig 1) and a means of processing the stock by ozone bleaching(col 3 lines 54-66) as well as ozone consumed as a function of time at various concentrations(Fig 9). Shackford teaches a method of measuring physical parameters(Fig 2)(col 6 line 20-col 7 line 25) including consumption rates for O3 for various concentrations(Fig 2) as well as the bleaching system for this process(Fig 3) and ozone-bleaching kinetics(Fig 6). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine Carlsmith in view of Shackford to teach applicant's disclosure and to specifically apply the parameter measurement device to be wireless.

Art Unit: 3624

The motivation to combine is to teach a stock monitoring system whereby improved processing of stock is attained as enunicated by Shackford(col 3 lines 10-32).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. Claims 1-19 are further rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. More precision is necessary to explicitly state in the claims applicant's conception to permit resolution of the disclosure vis a vis the existing art.

Double Patenting

6. Claims 1-19 are also rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-37 of U. S. Patent No. 6,267,847 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: a stock preparation system is disclosed in the prior patent to Doelle and in the present disclosure. Insufficient detail is provided in the currentt conception to distinguish the current application from the prior patent.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Page 4

Art Unit: 3624

Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE NON-FINAL.

8. Any questions concerning this communication should be addressed to the primary examiner of record, Dr. Geoffrey Akers, P.E., who can be reached between 6:30 AM and 5:00 PM Monday through Friday at 703-306-5844. If attempts to contact the primary examiner are unsuccessful, the primary examiner's superior, Mr. Vincent Millin, SPE, may be telephoned at

(703)-308-1065.

The fax number for Formal or Official faxes and Draft or Informal faxes to Technology Center 3600 or this Art Unit is (703)-308-3687. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)-308-1113.

September 29,2003

DR. GEOFFREY R. AKERS, P.E. PRIMARY EXAMINER